

Blending ESIF grants and PPPs -Available options and a worked example

JASPERS-EPEC Seminar "Blending ESI Funds and PPPs" Brussels, 11 May 2016



Presentation outline

- 1. Blending: what and why
- 2. Past blending activities: what needed to be fixed
- 3. MFF 2014-2020: what has been fixed
- 4. The PPP project blending cycle
- 5. A worked example of a blended project

.....questions and discussion



Blending: what and why



Blending: what?

Blending:

• A contribution from ESIF grants

and

• PPP procurement involving private financing (debt/equity)

Public-Private Partnership (CPR Art 2.24):

- Cooperation between public bodies and the private sector
- Delivery of investments in infrastructure projects...
-or other types of operations, delivering public services
- Risk sharing
- Pooling of private sector expertise or additional sources of capital



Why blend EU funds with PPPs?

<u>From an ESIF grant perspective</u>: PPPs may **improve value for money in the use of EU Funds**, bringing PPP disciplines to bear, including:

- payments based on performance (outputs)
- project delivery to time and budget
- long-term life-cycle approach to project cost assessment and delivery
- professional project management and implementation
- innovation in asset and service delivery
- appropriate risk allocation
- third party investor/lender scrutiny

PPPs may help Managing Authorities to improve the quality of expenditure and ensure effective use of EU Funds



Why blend EU funds with PPPs?

From a PPP project perspective: EU funds may increase the viability of PPPs, by:

- reducing the level of national funding required for the project
- lowering the level of user charges
- reducing private financing needs

EU Funds may make PPP projects more affordable for the procuring authority and/or users and may improve the bankability of PPP projects



12 13 13

an and the state but the same of Paraletic & Paraletics

525

Blending EU Structural and vestment Funds and PPPs in the 2014-2020 Programming Period

13 Particle in 1975.

EPEC's journey in blending

Stocktake of past blended projects and case studies (e.g. Poznan)

Work with the Commission on new legislative solutions for the 2014-2020 MFF

Guidance Note "Blending EU Structural and Investment Funds and PPPs in the 2014-2020 Programming Period":

- Raising awareness of PPP specific issues covered in the new regulations for ESI Funds
- Focused advice for Managing Authorities and potential Beneficiaries on combining ESI Funds and PPPs
- Practical guide for PPP procuring authorities to understand possible options and their implications





Past blending activities: what needed to be fixed



Past blending activities

- How many projects?
 - Only 49 grant/loan blended projects in 13 EU countries in over 14 years (vav 1,500 PPP projects worth EUR 279 bn)!
- Which countries were most active users of blending?
 - France: the largest number of projects in various sectors
 - Slovenia: small broadband network projects
 - Greece and Portugal: large projects with a high grant value
- Which sectors?
 - Transport dominated in value terms
 - ICT largest sector in terms of project numbers



Key observations and issues

- Size of the project not a factor
- Difficult integration of the PPP and the EU funds grant application process
 - Selection of the private partner had to be done before the grant application
 - Granting authority had to be the beneficiary in most cases:
 'redistribution' of the grant to the private partner
 - Granting authority effectively had to commit/provide funds in case of the grant shortfall
- Disbursement mechanism for EU Funds not aligned with standard PPP payment profile (limited risk transfer)



Key observations and issues – cont.

- Difficulties in calculating the funding gap (based on projections)
- Risk of grant amount recalculation
- Institutional capacity
- Negative market perception



MFF 2014-2020: what has been fixed



Relevant regulations

- <u>Regulation 1303/2013</u>, Common Provisions Regulation on ESI Funds
 - <u>Commission Delegated Regulation 480/2014</u>: calculation of discounted net revenue for revenue-generating projects
 - <u>Commission Delegated Regulation 2015/1076</u>: replacement of a beneficiary and escrow accounts
 - <u>Commission Implementing Regulation 2015/207</u>: information required on Major
 Projects and methodology for cost-benefit analysis
 - Implementation Guidance 2014-2020 Version 2 (March 2014): methods for calculating the EU grant amounts for revenue-generating projects



The highlights

- Timing of PPP and ESIF grant processes
- Timing/flexibility of EU grant disbursement into the project
- Calculation of the grant amount for revenue generating projects
- How final is the grant amount?
- Blending now explicitly possible



Timing of PPP and ESIF grant processes

- Earlier assurance of grant availability...
- ...enabling the PPP and the EU grant processes to run together
- Subsequent identification of the private sector beneficiary
- Possible change of the private sector beneficiary during the contract:
 - core to a PPP effectiveness is the possibility to replace the private partner when not performing
 - foreseen in the financing agreements
 - MA to satisfy itself with the replacement private partner or public body.
- Provides comfort that, in case of exercise of step-in rights, the grant will remain available to the project



Timing/flexibility of EU grant disbursement into the project

- Expenses incurred and paid by the private partner are considered incurred and paid by the public sector beneficiary subject to:
 - PPP agreement entered into;
 - Managing Authority exercises diligence;
 - Payment into a dedicated escrow account; and
 - Funds drawn from escrow used for payments are to be made in accordance with the PPP agreement, including termination payment.
- Minimum requirements to be included in the PPP agreements, including provisions related to contract termination and to the audit trail



Timing/flexibility of EU grant disbursement into the project – cont.





Calculation of the grant amount for revenue generating operations

- Net revenues are defined in Art. 61 CPR as:
 - cash in-flows directly paid by users; less
 - any operating costs and replacement costs of short-life equipment.
- Impact of net revenues
 - reduces the eligible expenditure of the project
- Principle: grants address funding gap



Calculation of the grant amount for revenue generating operations – cont.

- Two options for the Managing Authorities
- 1. Traditional Funding Gap calculation
 - More or less the same as in the past
- 2. Application of a flat rate
 - Co-funding rate of eligible expenditure

= 1- flat rate

• No risk of recalculation

Sector	Flat rates
Road	30%
Rail	20%
Urban Transport	20%
Water	25%
Solid Waste	20%
RDI	20%



Major projects in the CPR

- Definition (Art 100)
 - EUR 50m total eligible costs (EUR 75m for transport projects)
 - Financial instruments not considered as major projects
- Decision process regarding major projects
 - Information required for approval (Art 101)
 - Independent expert review (Art 102)
 - Project deemed approved unless decision to refuse the project within three months of notification (Art 102)
- Specific conditionality of approval for PPP projects
 - Signing of PPP contract within three years of grant approval (Art 102 (3))



Just to recap – have we ticked all boxes?





The PPP project blending cycle



Outline of typical PPP project cycle



Quality check-point



5 key issues to consider throughout the PPP project cycle





paymentsGrant amount

(driven by selected PPP arrangement)

Phase 1 – Project identification





Phase 2 – Detailed preparation for PPP procurement and grant application





Phase 3 – PPP procurement



Key blending decision:

• IQR or Commission review



Phase 4 - PPP project implementation/operation



BLENDING ACTIVITIES

- Grant disbursement requests submitted by beneficiary
- Certifying Authority confirmation
- Escrow account operation
- Possible management of change of private sector beneficiary
- Reporting and audit obligations



A worked example of a blended project



Description of the project

- 30 km road between cities A and B in a mountainous area
- Current road long and dangerous and rail links absent
- Significant construction costs and risks (bridges)
- Economic benefits of the project assessed (e.g. safety increase, reduced travel times, etc.)
- Initial VfM, affordability and bankability assessments point toward potential PPP solutions
- Project selected in the Transport and Mobility OP as Major Project
- Funding and financing options being considered, based on:
 - Limited users' willingness and ability to pay a toll;
 - Grant funding needed to make the project affordable.



Description of the project

• Key project dates

Expenditure item	Date	Eligibility period for the purpose of costs that may be covered by the grant
Grant application	2016	Yes
Procurement and grant approval	2016-2017	Yes
Construction period	2018-2020	Yes
Operating period	2021-2045	Yes (2019-2023)
	2021 2045	No (2024-2045)
Duration of operation (years)	25	-
Reference period (years)	30	-



Description of the project

• Key project data

Expenditure item	Amount (EURk)	Date
Design costs	10,000	2016-2017
Land purchase costs	90,000	2017-2018
Construction costs	450,000	2018-2020
Heavy maintenance costs	135,000	2021-2045
Other investment costs	5,000	2016-2017
Total investment costs	690.000	2016-2045



•Who will be the beneficiary of the grant?

- Public beneficiary vs private beneficiary
- The opportunities of using an escrow account
- Reporting requirements
- For the purpose of the worked example, the procuring authority decides to be the beneficiary of the grant



Decisions for the procuring authority – cont. European PPP Expertise Cer What is the impact of different PPP structures on the level of the grant?

- The procuring authority needs to consider a number of PPP options and associated funding options (i.e. availability vs toll charges; level of traffic risk transferred to private partner; etc.)
- Choice driven by: VfM, affordability, bankability, existing policies on user charging
- Revenue generating options will imply a reduced level of grant
- For the purpose of the worked example, the preferred option is:
 - Charges on users collected by the private partner

Plus

• Availability-based payments from the public sector (public funding)



What is the expected grant amount? Step 1 – Determination of eligible costs

Expenditure item	Amount (EURk)
Total investment costs (incl. heavy maintenance)	690,000
A. Of which, expenditure within the programming period (2014-2023)	600,000
B. Of which, land costs	90,000
C. Cap on land costs (10% of eligible costs)	60,000
Total eligible costs (A-B+C)	570,000
Ratio eligible costs/total costs	83%



What is the expected grant amount?

- Step 2 Determination of operating costs and revenue
 - Assumption 1: Project operating costs
 - Unitary costs: 150.000/km p.a.
 - Total costs throughout operational period: EUR 113m
 - Assumption 2: Project revenue
 - Tolls: 0.1/km
 - Traffic: 19.000cars/day in the 1st year of operation
 - Growth rate: 2% p.a.
 - Assumption 3: 4% benchmark discount rate
 - Assumption 4: No residual value



What is the expected grant amount?

Step 3 – Calculation of the grant amount: Discounted net revenue method

• Calculation of discounted net revenue

Disc. net revenue	=	Disc. revenue	-	Disc. operating costs	+	Disc. res. value
247	=	317	_	70	+	0

• Calculation of the funding gap rate

Funding gap rate	=	1	-	Disc. net revenue/disc. Investment costs
63.71%	=	1	-	247/680



What is the expected grant amount?

Step 3 – Calculation of the grant amount: Discounted net revenue method – cont.

• Determination of the Decisional amount

Decisional amount	=	Eligible costs	x	Funding gap rate
363	=	570	х	63.71%

• Determination of the EU Grant amount

EU Grant amount	=	Decisional amount		Max co-financing rate
272	=	363	-	75%



What is the expected grant amount?

Alternative method of calculation of the grant amount: the flat rate method

• Determination of the Decisional amount

Decisional amount	=	Eligible costs	x	(1- sectoral flat rate)
399	=	570	х	(1-30%)

• Determination of the EU Grant amount

EU Grant amount	=	Decisional amount	x	Max co-financing rate
299	=	399	-	75%



When to secure the grant approval?

- Procuring authority not able to finance any shortfalls in grant level
- Bidders need clarity on the expected funding sources of the project
- Procuring authority decides to prepare the grant application prior to the start of the procurement process
- Chosen assessment procedure for the Major Project application: IQR



European PPP Expertise Centre

<u>epec@eib.org</u> www.eib.org/epec

Twitter: @EpecNews Telephone: +352 4379 22022

Fax: +352 4379 65499

41





For info or further questions on this seminar and the activities of the JASPERS Networking Platform, please contact:

JASPERS Networking and Competence Centre

jaspersnetwork@eib.org

www.jaspersnetwork.org

